

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
8

9 **TC THE CONFLUENCE LP,**

10 **CASE NO. 1:22-CV-1124 AWI BAM**

11 **Plaintiff**

12 **ORDER FOR INTRADISTRICT**
13 **TRANSFER TO THE SACRAMENTO**
14 **DIVISION**

v.

15 **TRISTANNA COX, et al.,**

16 **Defendants**

17 On September 2, 2022, Defendants removed this matter from the Sacramento County
18 Superior Court to this Court in the Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California. See Doc.
19 No. 1. Defendants also filed motions to proceed in forma pauperis. See Doc. Nos. 2, 3.

20 Pursuant to Local Rule 120(d), all federal civil and criminal actions arising in Sacramento
21 County are to be commenced within the Sacramento Division of the Eastern District of California.
22 “Whenever in any action the Court finds upon its own motion . . . that the action has not been
23 commenced in the proper court in accordance with [Local Rule 120] . . . the Court may transfer
24 the action to another venue within the [Eastern District of California].” Local Rule 120(f).

25 Here, this case has no connection to any counties within the Fresno Division of the Eastern
26 District of California. This case originated in Sacramento County and should have been removed
27 to the Sacramento Division. See 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a). Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), the Court
28 will transfer this case forthwith to the Sacramento Division.

ORDER

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), this case is TRANSFERRED to the Sacramento Division of the Eastern District of California;
2. The undersigned expresses no opinion on Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis, which remains pending; and
3. All future filings shall reference the new Sacramento case number (once issued) and shall be filed at:

United States District Court

Eastern District of California

501 "I" Street

Sacramento, CA 95814.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 7, 2022

~~SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE~~